



COASTAL HAZARDS ADAPTATION RESILIENCY GROUP for the San Francisco Bay Region

FUNDING WORKING GROUP MEETING AGENDA

Wednesday, April 20, 2016 9:00 – 11:00 AM

Location: Port of Oakland: 530 Water Street, 2nd Floor, Courtyard Conference Room, Jack London Square

Call in: (267) 930-4000 Code: 466055500 Host: 932111001

TIME	TOPIC
9:00 am	Roll call and introductions
9:10 am	Update on actions from the March meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FEMA preparedness grants • Update on Bay Restoration Authority ballot measure • Stormwater ballot initiative
9:30 am	Discussion of status of funding chairs
9:45 am	<i>Funding Brief</i> (see below) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purpose and proposed use of <i>Funding Brief</i> • Specific comments on draft text • Contents of legislative briefing package
11:00 am	Adjourn <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Next meeting: Wednesday, May 16, 2016 9:00 – 10:00am, conference call

Summary of CHARG funding working group goals:

- Develop funding and financing strategies
- Facilitate a forum to develop collaborative investment strategies
- Support the technical & policy working groups to create a holistic approach to funding

Summary of CHARG funding working group priorities:

- Understand and align with pertinent existing funding and financing mechanisms
- Develop educational materials for legislators and funders
- Train stakeholders on how to be more competitive for funding
- Develop sustainable funding mechanisms

Review the *Funding Brief* and be prepared to discuss next steps.

- *Funding Brief* was originally intended to start a conversation about what CHARG would do with \$50M and to use the initial source of funding to help understand how the region might obtain the \$50B that may be needed to actually do the resiliency improvement. In its current form, should CHARG continue to develop the *Funding Brief* and if so what form should it take?

- Should it be an actual (printed) document or used as a strawman used to frame conversations? Perhaps entities going to D.C. seeking money could use this to frame the idea that we need a reliable source of long-term funding for projects in the Bay Area. Perhaps it could be used by elected officers at Capitol-to-Capitol meetings.
- Since current draft of the *Funding Brief* was created, we now have restoration authority activity, Prop 218 reformation, Resiliency by Design initiative, etc. Should we put the document on hold to support those initiatives?
- Operational funding is needed for CHARG facilitation: three working groups, three technical sub-groups, design charrettes, permitting issues being addressed by policy working group, and annual or bi-annual stakeholder meetings. Operational expenses are currently funded by ACFCD. How might we obtain a sustainable long-term source of funding?